





## TERMINAL LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- 1. Recall the chronological sequence of key events associated with the beyond-design-basis accidents (BDBA) at both Daiichi and Daini.
- 2. Recognize the process used to establish the design-basis requirements for earthquake and flooding at Daiichi.
- 3. Define beyond-design-basis events and the industry approach to mitigate their effects.
- 4. Recognize the progression and key thresholds of a beyond-design-basis event.
- 5. Summarize the long-term consequences of a nuclear accident resulting from a beyond-design-basis event.
- 6. Identify the differences between operating, design, and analytical design margins.
- 7. Describe two approaches to determining the ultimate design capability margins.
- 8. Understand the relationship between a beyond-design-basis event and margin (in the safety analysis).
- 9. Contrast the design/design basis differences at Daiichi and Daini.
- 10. Understand the system failures at Daiichi that led to the extensive damage of the reactors.
- 11. Assess leadership and team behaviors that can either breakdown or promote team effectiveness.
- 12. Describe why team effectiveness at Daini was different than that at Daiichi.
- 13. Explain a significant strategy for the successful outcome at Daini.
- 14. Explain how engineers and leaders at Fukushima made strategic decisions by using their technical competence under extreme conditions.
- 15. Analyze the effectiveness of innovations used to solve design problems at Daiichi and Daini.
- 16. Define the elements of a Safety Conscious Work Environment (SCWE) including definition, process, and disposition of safety concerns raised by employees.
- 17. Illustrate the key technical conscience principles, and how these principles can be impacted during beyond-design-basis events.
- 18. Understand the cultural differences between engineering ethics in the US and Japan.
- 19. Relate leadership behaviors lessons learned from Daiichi and Daini that apply to other case studies.
- 20. Recognize the challenges involved in the decommissioning of Daiichi and Daini.
- 21. Identify the political and regulatory impact of the accident on the US nuclear power industry and the nuclear industry as a whole.

U

 $\triangleright$ 



## **KEY INDUSTRY DOCUMENTS**

## **PUBLICLY AVAILABLE DOCUMENTS**

- 1. NUREG-1409 (Backfitting Guidelines)
- 2. NUREG-2150 (A Proposed Risk Management Regulatory Framework-Apr 2012)
- 3. NUREG/CR-7230 (Seismic Design in US and Japan)
- 4. Station Blackout-Inside the Fukushima Nuclear Disaster and Recovery (Charles Casto)
- 5. Harvard Business Review Article-How the Other Fukushima Plant Survived
- 6. SCWE NRC Regulatory Issue Summary 2005-18
- 7. NRC Document: Flood Update Newsletter, ADAMS Accession No. ML12012A247
- 8. NRC Document: Daily Event Status for Fort Calhoun, ADAMS Accession No. ML12017A246
- NUMUG 2012 CALL-The Impact of the April 27, 2011 Severe Weather Outbreak on TVA's Radiological Emergency Preparedness Program (Nuclear Utilities Meteorological User's Group)
- 10. NEI 12-06 Rev. 4 Diverse and Flexible Coping Strategies (FLEX) Implementation Guide
- 11. SMiRT-23 Design Basis Vs. Beyond Design Basis Considerations for Operating Plants-August 2015

## **INPO DOCUMENTS**

- 1. INPO 09-003 Systematic Management of Design and Operating Margins
- 2. INPO 11-005 Special Report at the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station
- 3. INPO 15-005 Leadership and Team Effectiveness Attributes
- 4. INPO 10-005-Principles for Maintaining an Effective Technical Conscience
- 5. INPO Event Report 12-25 (Level 4) Fort Calhoun Flooding Lessons Learned
- 6. INPO Event Report 11-46- (Level 2) Rev 1